Discovery, and Discovery Statutes (Government Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7) at Respondent's address of record which, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4100, is required to be reported and maintained with the Board. Respondent's address of record was and is: 9022 Calle Del Verde, Santee, CA 92071.

- 4. Service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c) and/or Business & Professions Code section 124.
 - 5. The aforementioned documents were not returned by the U.S. Postal Service.
 - 6. Government Code section 11506 states, in pertinent part:
 - (c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent files a notice of defense, and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing.
- 7. Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon her of the Accusation, and therefore waived her right to a hearing on the merits of Accusation No. 4310.
 - 8. California Government Code section 11520 states, in pertinent part:
 - (a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent.
- 9. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Board finds Respondent is in default. The Board will take action without further hearing and, based on the relevant evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this matter, as well as taking official notice of all the investigatory reports, exhibits and statements contained therein on file at the Board's offices regarding the allegations contained in Accusation No. 4310, finds that the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 4310, are separately and severally, found to be true and correct by clear and convincing evidence.
- 10. Taking official notice of its own internal records, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3, it is hereby determined that the reasonable costs for Investigation and Enforcement is \$1,590.00 as of August 28, 2012.

22.

//

 $/\!/$

//

//

//

H

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

- 1. Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent Kristina Marie Pinarelli has subjected her Pharmacy Technician Registration No. 102205 to discipline.
 - 2. The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default.
- 3. The Board of Pharmacy is authorized to revoke Respondent's Pharmacy Technician Registration based upon the following violations alleged in the Accusation which are supported by the evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this case:
- a. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Business and Professions Code sections 490 and 4301(l) in that she was convicted of crimes substantially related to the qualifications, functions and duties of a pharmacy technician on or about May 1, 2012 in *The People of the State of California v. Kristina Marie Pinarelli*, in Riverside County Superior Court case number RIF1104016.
- b. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action for unprofessional conduct under section 4301(h) of the Business and Professions Code in that she used controlled substances to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to herself or others on or about May 17, 2011.
- c. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301(j) of the Business and Professions Code in that on or about May 17, 2011, Respondent violated the California Uniform Controlled Substances Act (Health and Safety Code 11000, *et seq.*).
- d. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Business and Professions Code section 4301(o) for violation of the Pharmacy Act in that on or about May 17, 2011, Respondent furnished to herself and possessed controlled substances.

<u>ORDER</u> IT IS SO ORDERED that Pharmacy Technician Registration No. 102205, heretofore issued to Respondent Kristina Marie Pinarelli, is revoked. Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve a written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion may vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute. This Decision shall become effective on November 19, 2012. It is so ORDERED ON October 19, 2012. BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA **Board President** 70615562,DOC DOJ Matter ID:SD2012703445 Attachment: Exhibit A: Accusation

Exhibit A

Accusation

1	KAMALA D. HARRIS
2	Attorney General of California JAMES M. LEDAKIS
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General DESIREE I. KELLOGG
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 126461
5	110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92101
6	P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266
7	Telephone: (619) 645-2996
•	Facsimile: (619) 645-2061 Attorneys for Complainant
8	BEFORE THE
9.	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 4310
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 4310 KRISTINA MARIE PINARELLI
13	9022 Calle Del Verde
14	Santee, CA 92071 ACCUSATION
15	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. 102205
16	Respondent.
17	
18	Complainant alleges:
19	PARTIES
20	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity
21	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.
22	2. On or about October 13, 2010, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy Technician
23	Registration Number 102205 to Kristina Marie Pinarelli (Respondent). The Pharmacy
24	Technician Registration was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought
25	herein and will expire on November 30, 2013, unless renewed.
26	
27	
28	
	1
ļ	1

Accusation

JURISDICTION

- 3. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
- 4. Section 118, subdivision (b), of the Code provides that the suspension, expiration, surrender, cancellation of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated.
- 5. Section 4300, subdivision (a) of the Code states "Every license issued may be suspended or revoked."

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

Section 482 of the Code states:

Each board under the provisions of this code shall develop criteria to evaluate the rehabilitation of a person when:

- (a) Considering the denial of a license by the board under Section 480; or
- (b) Considering suspension or revocation of a license under Section 490.

Each board shall take into account all competent evidence of rehabilitation furnished by the applicant or licensee.

- 7. Section 490 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that a board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued.
 - Section 493 of the Code states:

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in a proceeding conducted by a board within the department pursuant to law to deny an application for a license or to suspend or revoke a license or otherwise take disciplinary action against a person who holds a license, upon the ground that the applicant or the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question, the record of conviction of the crime shall be conclusive evidence of the fact that the conviction occurred, but only of that fact,

and the board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime in order to fix the degree of discipline or to determine if the conviction is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question.

As used in this section, "license" includes "certificate," "permit," "authority," and "registration."

9. Section 4059 of the Code provides in part that a person may not furnish any dangerous drug, except upon the prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, optometrist, veterinarian, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.7. A person may not furnish any dangerous device, except upon the prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, optometrist, veterinarian, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.7.

10. Section 4060 of the Code states:

No person shall possess any controlled substance, except that furnished to a person upon the prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, optometrist, veterinarian, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.7, or furnished pursuant to a drug order issued by a certified nurse-midwife pursuant to Section 2746.51, a nurse practitioner pursuant to Section 2836.1, or a physician assistant pursuant to Section 3502.1, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.5, or a pharmacist pursuant to either subparagraph (D) of paragraph (4) of, or clause (iv) of subparagraph (A) of paragraph (5) of, subdivision (a) of Section 4052. This section shall not apply to the possession of any controlled substance by a manufacturer, wholesaler, pharmacy, pharmacist, physician, podiatrist, dentist, optometrist, veterinarian, naturopathic doctor, certified nurse-midwife, nurse practitioner, or physician assistant, when in stock in containers correctly labeled with the name and address of the supplier or producer.

Nothing in this section authorizes a certified nurse-midwife, a nurse practitioner, a physician assistant, or a naturopathic doctor, to order his or her own stock of dangerous drugs and devices.

11. Section 4301 of the Code states:

The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

(h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be

dangerous or injurious to oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by the license.

(j) The violation of any of the statutes of this state, or any other state, or of the United States regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs.

(I) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.

(o) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter or of the applicable federal and state laws and regulations governing pharmacy, including regulations established by the board or by any other state or federal regulatory agency.

REGULATORY PROVISIONS

12. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, states:

For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to

the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare.

- .13. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1769, states:
 - (b) When considering the suspension or revocation of a facility or a personal license on the ground that the licensee or the registrant has been convicted of a crime, the board, in evaluating the rehabilitation of such person and his present eligibility for a license will consider the following criteria:
 - (1) Nature and severity of the act(s) or offense(s).
 - (2) Total criminal record.
 - (3) The time that has elapsed since commission of the act(s) or offense(s).
 - (4) Whether the licensee has complied with all terms of parole, probation, restitution or any other sanctions lawfully imposed against the licensee.
 - (5) Evidence, if any, of rehabilitation submitted by the licensee.

COST RECOVERY

14. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

DRUGS

- 15. <u>Vicodin</u>, a brand name for acetaminophen and hydrocodone, is a Schedule III controlled substance as designated by Health and Safety Code section 11055(b)(1), and is a dangerous drug pursuant to Business & Professions Code section 4022.
- 16. Xanax, a brand name for alprazolam, is a Schedule IV controlled substance as designated by Health and Safety Code section 11057(d)(1), and is a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022.
- 17. <u>Carisoprodol</u>, a generic name for Soma, is a controlled substance as designated by federal statutes and is a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(May 1, 2012 Conviction for Transporting/Selling/Furnishing Controlled Substances and Driving Under the Influence on May 17, 2011)

- 18. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code sections 490 and 4301(l) in that she was convicted of crimes substantially related to the qualifications, functions and duties of a pharmacy technician. The circumstances are as follows:
- a. On or about May 1, 2012, in a criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Kristina Marie Pinarelli*, in Riverside County Superior Court, case number RIF1104016, Respondent was convicted on her plea of guilty for violating Health and Safety Code section 11352(a), transports/sells/furnishes controlled substances, a felony and Vehicle Code section 23152(a), driving under the influence of controlled substance, a misdemeanor.
- b. As a result of the conviction, on or about May 1, 2012, Respondent was committed to the custody of the Riverside County Sheriff for 145 days, sentenced to three years formal probation, to enroll and successfully complete the electronic monitoring program (ankle bracelet), to pay all applicable fees, fines and restitution and to participate in a counseling or rehabilitation/treatment program deemed appropriate by her probation officer.
- c. The facts that led to the conviction were that on or about May 17, 2011, the California Highway Patrol responded to a report that Respondent's vehicle was stopped within the center divider of northbound I-15, north of El Cerrito Road in Temecula, California. The officers initiated contact with Respondent. They observed that Respondent's eyes were extremely bloodshot and dilated, she had an unsteady gait, she appeared disoriented and she spoke in a slow and slurred manner. The officers determined that she was under the influence of a CNS depressant. An officer searched Respondent and found 79 tablets of hydrocodone in her right front pocket of her pants and after she told the officer that she did not have any additional pills, the officer found 83 Xanax tablets and 1 Vicodin tablet in the left front pocket of her pants.

 Another officer found 92 Xanax tablets and 24 Cardisoprodal tablets in Respondent's vehicle. Respondent was subsequently arrested.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct - Use of Drugs in a Dangerous Manner)

19. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action for unprofessional conduct under section 4301(h) of the Code in that she used controlled substances to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to herself or others, as set forth in paragraph 18, above.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct-Violating Law Regulating Controlled Substances)

Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301(j) of the Code in that on or about May 17, 2011, Respondent violated the California Uniform Controlled Substances Act (Health and Safety Code 11000, et seq.) as is more fully described in paragraph 18 above.

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct-Violations of Chapter)

Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301(o) for violation of the Pharmacy Act in that on or about May 17, 2011, Respondent furnished to herself and possessed controlled substances, in violation of Code sections 4059 and 4060 as is more fully described in Paragraph 18, above.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:

- Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician Registration Number 102205, issued 1. to Kristina Marie Pinarelli;
- Ordering Kristina Marie Pinarelli to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3;

26

27

28

1	3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.
2	
3	
4	DATED: 7/26/12 \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
5	VIRGINIA DEPOLID
6	Executive Officer Board of Pharmacy Department of Consumer Affairs State of California
7	State of California Complainant
8	Complainani
9	SD2012703445/70562807.doc
10	
11	
12	
13 14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
J	i